Article Citation:

Assuming Small Differences Are Meaningful	Equating Statistical Significance with Real- World Significance	Looking at Extremes	Trusting Coincidence	Getting Causation Backwards	Forgetting to Consider Outside Causes	Deceptive Graphs
Were the reported differences (or effects) small? If so, were major conclusions drawn from these small small effects? Were any effect sizes given?	reported to be statistically significant, do they also have	Did the effects rely on extreme members of the population? Or did the effects encompass average members of the population?	Did the effects rely on correlations? If so, did they occur only once or were they repeatable?	Did the article claim causality? If so, could the causality go in the opposite direction?	Could the effects be due to another cause — not the cause claimed in the article?	Were the graphs deceptive? Were the axes labeled? Were the scales compressed to suggest the differences were bigger than they were?

Article Citation:

Assuming Small	Equating Statistical	Looking at	Trusting	Getting Causation	Forgetting to Consider	Deceptive Graphs
Differences Are Meaningful	Significance with Real- World Significance	Extremes	Coincidence	Backwards	Outside Causes	
Were the reported differences (or effects) small? If so, were major conclusions drawn from these small small effects? Were any effect sizes given?	For the effects that were reported to be statistically significant, do they also have real-world significance? Were any effect sizes reported?	Did the effects rely on extreme members of the population? Or did the effects encompass average members of the population?	Did the effects rely on correlations? If so, did they occur only once or were they repeatable?	Did the article claim causality? If so, could the causality go in the opposite direction?	Could the effects be due to another cause not the cause claimed in the article?	Were the graphs deceptive? Were the axes labeled? Were the scales compressed to suggest the differences were bigger than they were?

Article Citation:

Assuming Small Differences Are Meaningful	Equating Statistical Significance with Real- World Significance	Looking at Extremes	Trusting Coincidence	Getting Causation Backwards	Forgetting to Consider Outside Causes	Deceptive Graphs
Were the reported differences (or effects) small? If so, were major conclusions drawn from these small small effects? Were any effect sizes given?	For the effects that were reported to be statistically significant, do they also have	Did the effects rely on extreme members of the population? Or did the effects encompass average members of the population?	Did the effects rely on correlations? If so, did they occur only once or were they repeatable?	Did the article claim causality? If so, could the causality go in the opposite direction?	Could the effects be due to another cause not the cause claimed in the article?	Were the graphs deceptive? Were the axes labeled? Were the scales compressed to suggest the differences were bigger than they were?